Out of and control

PTO/SB/21 (02-04) (AW 02/2004)
Approved for use through 7/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Inder the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL	
FORM	

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 38

Application Number	10/082,920
Filing Date	02/25/2002
First Named Inventor	Leonard Pinchuk
Art Unit	3738
Examiner Name	Paul B. Prebilic
Attorney Docket No.	BSL507US

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers	After Allowance Communication to Group					
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/Declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation, Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): 2 pg. Communication; 2 pg. copy of Notification of Non-Compliance					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks:	with 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c)					
SIGNATURI	OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AG	GENT					
Firm or Individual Name Signature Date Jonathan H. Spadt Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 45,122							
OFFICIAL OF TRANSMISSION (MAILING							
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION / MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date:							
Typed or printed name Denise Morgan							
Signature DO NOS	_ Mara_	Date 10/5/2004					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending u pon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PE VC BSI-507US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pln. No:

10/082,920

Applicant:

Leonard Pinchuk

Filed:

February 25, 2002

Title:

A Method of Treating An Aneurysm

T.C./A.U.:

3738

Examiner:

Paul B. Prebilic

Confirmation No.:

3520

Notice of Appeal Filed: Docket No.:

May 14, 2004 BSI-507US

COMMUNICATION

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

This Brief is filed in response to the Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c) of September 28, 2004 (copy enclosed).

Appellants have clarified the concise explanation of the claimed invention by referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c)(5). The Appellants also have included an Appendix containing a correct copy of the appealed claims under 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c)(9).

This Brief is being filed in triplicate, in compliance with all the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c), and within one (1) month from the date of the Notification.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonatkan H. Spadt, Reg. No. 45,122 Christian M. Bauer, Reg. No. 51,443

Attorneys for Applicant

Dated: October 5, 2004

Encls.: Appeal Brief (in triplicate)

Copy of Notification of Non-Compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.192(c)

P.O. Box 980 Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980 (610) 407-0700

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. 18-0350 of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

Octøber 5, 2004

ED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/082,920

02/25/2002

Leonard Pinchuk

BSI-507US

3520

23122

09/28/2004

EXAMINER

RATNERPRESTIA

P O BOX 980

VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-C pliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/082,920	PINCHUK, LEONARD
Examiner	Art Unit
Paul B. Prebilic	3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>14 July 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

wi	thin	the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))
8.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		In addition to the claims not being in an appendix (item 8), the copy of claim 4 is not correct in that the term "stent" is used where —stent-graft— ought to be.

Paul B. Prebilic Primary Examiner